## **Catch Up Funding Plan Ursuline High School**

**Catch Up Premium Intervention Funding Total £84,480** 

Academic year

2020/21

The headings below sets out the sperate strands for the expenditure of the Catch Up Funding premium to close gaps in attainment an progress of identified students. Detail Autumn 25% and then Spring 25% for use in this academic year.

This academic year £42,000 Catch up premium. School supplementing the expenditure to meet goals.

## i. Catch Up Funding Year 7

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
<u>A</u> – Below ARE students identified and tutored to close gaps in Numeracy and literacy skills to enable them to Access the Curriculum. Gaps closed and students reach ARE	Further Programme details below this table  Identification. CATS and Screening of all Yr 7 Guided Reading X3 weekly 1:1 Numeracy intervention. 1:1 Literacy intervention Other components elwo	Using CATs Testing to identify students with learning Gaps. Triangulation with In school data and then specific programmes to close numeracy and literacy Gaps in students identified.	Establish the Programme. Lead on staff allocation of resources	Associate headteacher Lead. Head of SEN. KS3 post holders in Eng and Maths	Spring B
	l	<u> </u>	Total b	udgeted cost	£12.000

## ii. MyTutor Catch Up Programme

Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you
	approach	rationale for this choice?	implemented well?		review
					implementation?

Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you
(Programme 2= To Total Cost £49,280	•	ol costs £24,640 Spring Summe 50 In house UHS costs covered	,		£ £49,280
Programme one and Programme one and Programme one and Programme two close gaps in identified core subject to meet required target and redress gaps presented during lockdown	Further Programme details below this table  Using established provider MyTutors to work with students on a core subject gap on a 1:1 programme run over 10 weeks. Tutors are remote but the provision is run and monitored in school within year bubble in an after school intervention.  Disadvantaged students and students as well as 62% of students from underachieving ethnicity cohorts prioritised for places.	The Ursuline High school reverted immediately to online learning in the initial lockdown and the school ran fully remote without interruption in learning. Summer assessments and exams were used to identify students with specific gaps who struggled with some specific aspect of their work and this 1:1 provision was selected to compliment the ins school intervention and support programmes which ran throughout lockdown. This approach was chosen due to its specific and targeted 1:1 qualities. The school rejected proposals for online home 1:1 learning due to lack of evidence of impact of mentoring	Associate Head Teacher Lead on Programme design and implementation. HODs to lead on student nomination, Gaps analyses and reporting on Progress. HOY to supervise and respond to attendance and engagement. My tutors to report on individual progress reports at end of programme.	EK, HODs HOY	10 week programme analyses. Replicated after second tranche.

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
63 Students in Core subjects on allocated day after school to allow	Established afterschool intervention programme running every day after	Sucessive results at GCSE supporting the P8 score above +0.8 and all sub groups cohorts including DIsadv	Raising Standards leader Associate Headteacher leading on programme. HODs of department	EK HODS and HOY	Half termly raising standards Data drops

ofr replicate students to attended catch up intervention. 12 other subjects delivering interventions involving 118 students to close gaps in understanding and meet targets fro GCSE	school except Friday when a programme of Friday GCSE Mocks are run to identify Gaps in learning and close through T&L and interventions.  Lessons are delivered in the bubble and coordinated attendance and	making outstanding Progress. Data improvement in outcomes from Year 10 into year 11.	running interventions and HOY and PSA monitoring and communicating with parents on attendance. Progress trackek		
	monitoring by HOY and PSA				
			Total bu	udgeted cost	£22,000

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Investigation into NTP programme to replace tranche 2 if it doesn't produce desired outcomes . TalentEd	TG introduced to TalentEd Attainment gap charity Funding sponsored by 75% using NTP funding Cost of hourly Block with NTP subsidy equates to £283.00 for 1-3 interventions on each student on this programme will cost just £79.34. This programme assists only in closing the Gap with disadvantaged students. Investigating potential.				COST TBC